

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/703,629	11/10/2003	Takashi Sakakura	1163-0484P	2164	
2292 BIRCH STEW	7590 07/02/200 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAM	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747		KASRAIAN,	KASRAIAN, ALLAHYAR		
FALLS CHUR	CH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2617		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/02/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/703,629	SAKAKURA, TAKASHI		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	ALLAHYAR KASRAIAN	2617		

	ALLAHYAR KASRAIAN	2617						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 05 June 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.						
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 3 TCFA 1,3; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	of the final rejection.							
☐ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO								
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		26(a) and the engrapries	o outonoion foo					
Laterisations of title in largy be doubland on John 1970 (2016). The have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set for thin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp.	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be t	iled within two months	s of the date of					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any externotice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause					
(c) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		cted claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1								
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. 		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 								
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: 1-14.								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but 	t before or on the date of filing a No	tice of Anneal will not	he entered					
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a					
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attach-	ed.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
/Rafael Pérez-Gutiérrez/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617								

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment to claims 1 and 8 with the added limitation (wherein the statistical information storage field includes a already-processed indicating flag region which indicates whether the router aparatus has discarded the burstly transmitted received IP packets associated with the trared session) raises new issues and requires further consideration desearch.